

The Hongkong Telegraph.

NEW SERIES No. 38

WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 1895.

THIRTY DOLLARS
PER ANNUM.

Banks.

THE NATIONAL BANK OF CHINA,
LIMITED.

Authorized Capital \$1,000,000

Subscribed Capital \$500,000

HEAD OFFICE—HONGKONG.

Court of Directors—

D. Gillies, Esq. Chawting Chang, Esq.

H. Stollensht, Esq. Kwan Ho Chuen, Esq.

Chan Kit Shan, Esq.

Chief Manager.

GEO. W. E. PLAYFAIR.

Interest for 12 months 5 per cent.

Wanlong, 1st October, 1895.

THE CHARTERED BANK OF INDIA,
AUSTRALIA AND CHINA.

INCORPORATED BY ROYAL CHARTER, 1853.

HEAD OFFICE—LONDON.

CAPITAL PAID-UP \$600,000

RESERVE LIABILITY OF SHARE-

HOLDERS \$600,000

RESERVE FUND \$35,000

INTEREST ALLOWED ON CURRENT

ACCOUNT at the Rate of 2 per cent., per

annum on the Daily Balances.

On Fixed Deposits for 12 months 5 per cent.

" " " "

A. C. MARSHALL,

Manager, Hongkong.

Hongkong, 4th May, 1895.

THE MERCANTILE BANK OF

INDIA, LIMITED.

AUTHORISED CAPITAL \$1,500,000

SUBSCRIBED \$1,150,000

PAID-UP \$600,000

BANKERS:

LONDON JOINT STOCK BANK, LIMITED.

INTEREST ALLOWED ON CURRENT

ACCOUNTS at the Rate of 2 per cent.,

per annum on the Daily Balance.

ON FIXED DEPOSITS—

For 12 Months 5 per cent.

" " " "

JOHN THURBURN,

Manager, Hongkong.

Hongkong, 18th June, 1895.

HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI

BANKING CORPORATION.

PAID-UP CAPITAL \$10,000,000

RESERVE FUND \$1,500,000

RESERVE LIABILITY OF PROPRIETORS \$10,000,000

COUNT OF DIRECTORS:

J. S. Morris, Esq.—Chairman.

J. K. Keeler, Esq.—Deputy Chairman.

R. M. G. Evans, Esq.

S. C. Michaelides, Esq.

C. J. Holliday, Esq.

Hon. J. J. Kawick, Esq.

D. R. Stevens, Esq.

Hon. A. McConeochie.

CHIEF MANAGER:

Hongkong—T. JACKSON, Esq.

MANAGER:

Shanghai—H. M. BEVIS, Esq.

LONDON BANKERS—LOWDOWNS AND COUNTY

BANKING COMPANY, LIMITED.

HONGKONG—INTEREST ALLOWED

On Current Account at the rate of 2 per cent.,

per annum on the daily balance.

ON FIXED DEPOSITS:

For 3 months, 3 per cent., per annum.

For 6 months, 4 per cent., per annum.

For 12 months, 5 per cent., per annum.

T. JACKSON,

Chief Manager,

Hongkong, 15th April, 1895.

HONGKONG SAVINGS BANK.

THE Business of the above Bank is conducted by the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI

BANKING CORPORATION. Rules may be obtained on application.

INTEREST on deposits is allowed at 3 PER

CENT, per annum.

Depositors may transfer at their option

balances of \$100 or more to the HONGKONG AND

SHANGHAI BANK to be placed on FIXED

DEPOSIT at 5 PER CENT, per annum.

For the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI

BANKING CORPORATION,

T. JACKSON,

Chief Manager,

Hongkong, 15th April, 1895.

INSURANCES.

THE STANDARD

is one of the LARGEST and BEST KNOWN

of the BRITISH LIFE OFFICES.

FUNDS exceed EIGHT MILLIONS STERLING,

ANNUAL REVENUE OVER ONE MILLION

STERLING.

For Forms of Proposal and every information.

Apply to

DODWELL, CARLILL & Co.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 2nd May, 1895.

GENERAL NOTICE

THE ON TAI INSURANCE COMPANY,

(LIMITED).

CAPITAL, TAELIS 600,000

EQUAL TO \$853,333.33.

RESERVE FUND \$318,000.00

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Lee Seng, Esq.

Lo Yuen Moon, Esq.

Low Tse Shun, Esq.

MANAGER—HO AMEL.

MARINE RISKS OR GOODS, &c., taken

at CURRENT RATES in all parts of the

World.

HEAD OFFICE, 8 & 9, PRAYA WEST,

Hongkong, 15th December, 1894.

NOTICE,

THE MAN ON INSURANCE COMPANY,

LIMITED.

CAPITAL SUBSCRIBED \$1,000,000

The above Company is prepared to accept

MARINE RISKS at Current Rates on Goods,

&c. Policies granted to all Parts of the world

payable at any of its Agencies.

CHAU TSUNG YAT,

Secretary.

HEAD OFFICE,

No. 2, QUEEN'S ROAD WEST,

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895.

Amusements.

GRAND CAFE CHANTANT.

Under the Distinguished Patronage

H.E. the Governor, Sir W. ROBINSON,

K.C.B., K.C.M.G.

H.E. Major-General BLACK, C.B.,

and

Commodore G. H. BOYES, R.N.

GLEES, PART SONGS AND SOLOS

by most of the

LEADING AMATEURS IN THE COLONY.

ST. ANDREW'S HALL (CITY HALL)

TOMORROW,

(THURSDAY) 16th May, 1895.

AT 8 P.M.

IN AID OF THE

KOWLOON SOLDIERS' AND SAILORS'

INSTITUTE BUILDING FUND.

Conductor Mr. G. LAMBERT.

Accompanist Mr. F. WAYMOUTH, R.N.

ADMISSION: \$2.

(Including Tea, Coffee, Ices, &c.)

TICKETS at Messrs. KELLY & WALSH, Ltd.

Hongkong, 10th May, 1895.

1628

Intimations.

THE LAURIE PLANTING COMPANY,

LIMITED, IN LIQUIDATION.

NOTICE is hereby given that a FIRST

RETURN of CAPITAL of \$27.75 per

SHARE will be PAYABLE at THE HONGKONG

AND SHANGHAI CORPORATION, HONGKONG, on

and after THURSDAY, the 16th instant.

SHAREHOLDERS on the REGISTER can obtain PAYMENT on producing their fully

paid up SHARE CERTIFICATES in the above

BANK and Signing the form of receipt to be

obtained there.

A. W. WALKINSHAW,

JAMES H. COX,

Liquidators,

Hongkong, 13th May, 1895.

1643

Intimations.

NOTICE is hereby given that the TENTH

ANNUAL ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING

of the COMPANY (inc. its Registrars)

will be held at the HONGKONG DISPENSARY

on SATURDAY, the 18th instant, at Twelve

o'clock NOON, for the purpose of receiving the

Report of the General Manager, together with a

Godfrey's
Advertisements.

THEATRE ROYAL
CITY HALL.

Lessee & Manager Mr. SAVILLE SMITH.
Stage Manager Mr. W. G. CAREY.

G R A N D F A R C I C A L C O M E D Y
S E A S O N .

FUN! FUN!! FUN!!!

TO-NIGHT

(WEDNESDAY), 15th May.
(ANOTHER SPECIAL REQUEST).
MISS SALLIE BOOTH will repeat her
Roaring Impersonation of
"M A M M A".

TO-MORROW

(THURSDAY), 16th May.
F. C. BURNARD'S LAUGHABLE FARCI
COMEDY,
"B E T S Y."

FRIDAY, 17th May.

DERRICK'S most Successful and Amusing
FARCI COMEDY,
"C O N F U S I O N ."

Prices as Usual. Soldiers and Sailors in
Uniform half-price to Back Seats only. Doors
open at 8.30 P.M., commence at 9 o'clock.

A Special Train will run to the Peak after the
Performances.
Box Office at Messrs. KELLY & WALSH'S,
Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [63]

HONGKONG SMOKING CONCERT CLUB

THE THIRD CONCERT will be held in
the THEATRE ROYAL CITY HALL, on
SATURDAY next, the 18th instant, at 9.15 P.M.
Chairman:—F. HENDERSON, Esq.

J. D. LAPRAIK,
Hon. Sec.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [643]

QUEEN INSURANCE COMPANY,
NOW MYRICK IN THE
ROYAL INSURANCE COMPANY.

THE Undersigned having been appointed
AGENT for the above COMPANY is
now prepared to accept risks against FIRE at
Current rates.

G. H. POTTS.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [645]

PUBLIC AUCTION
OF

J E W E L L E R Y.

THE Undersigned has received instructions
from C. F. A. SANOSTER, Esq., Official
Administrator, to Sell by

PUBLIC AUCTION,
ON

TUESDAY, the 21st May, 1895,

at his SALE ROOMS, Queen's Road,

SUNDRY JEWELLERY.

The Property of the late

Mr. ROBERT FRASER-SMITH.

On View from the Morning of the day of Sale.

Catalogues will be issued.

TERMS OF SALE:—As customary.

J. M. ARMSTRONG,
Auctioneer.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [646]

INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION
COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SHANGHAI, VIA SWATOW.
(Taking Cargo and Passengers at through rates
for CHEFOO, TIEN-TSIN, NEWCHWANG,
HANKOW and PORTS on the YANGTZE.)

THE Company's Steamship

"CHOYSANG."

Captain J. Thom, will be despatched as above

TO-MORROW, the 16th instant, at 4 P.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

JARDINE, MATHESON & Co.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [635]

OCEAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

FOR SHANGHAI, VIA AMOY.

(Taking Cargo and Passengers at through rates
for NINGPO, CHEFOO, NEWCHWANG, TIENTSIN,
HANKOW and PORTS on the YANGTZE.)

THE Company's Steamship

"AGAMEMNON"

Captain Stevens, will be despatched as above

TO-MORROW, the 16th instant, at 4 P.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE,
Agents.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [637]

FOR SHANGHAI.

THE Steamship

"NANYANG,"

Captain F. Schulz, will be despatched for the above Port on MONDAY, the 20th instant, at

4 P.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SIEMSSSEN & Co.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [648]

"WARRACK" LINE OF STEAMERS.

FOR NEW YORK, VIA SUZ CANAL.

THE Steamship

"MACDUFF,"

Captain Thomson, will be despatched for the above Port on THURSDAY, the 30th instant.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DODWELL, CARLILL & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [649]

SPANISH MAIL STEAMSHIP LINE.

MANAGING PROPRIETORS—

PINILLOS, SAENZ & CO., CADIZ.

Taking Cargo and Passengers without
transhipment to MANILA, BARCELONA,

CADIZ and LIVERPOOL.

THE Steamship

"BARCELONA"

will lead here as above towards end of July and

will be followed at intervals of one month by the S.S. "Cadder" and S.S. "Manila".

For further information apply to the Agency

of the Company, No. 22 Stanley Street.

VILLA, LOPEZ & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 15th May, 1895. [649]

Intimations.

DAKIN, CRUICKSHANK &
COMPANY, LIMITED,
VICTORIA DISPENSARY,
HONGKONG.

AERATED WATERS.

SIMPLE AERATED WATER.
SODA WATER.

GINGER ALE.

SARSAPARILLA.

RASPBERRYADE, &c.

DAKIN, CRUICKSHANK & Co.'s WATERS are
made under the constant supervision of a duly
qualified English Chemist and will bear compari-

son with the best English Manufactures.

Special terms to HOTELS, CLUBS, MEETINGS and
other Large Consumers.

Any complaints should be addressed to the
Manager.
Hongkong, 3rd May, 1895. [27]

A. S. WATSON & CO.,
LIMITED.
ESTABLISHED A.D. 1841.

WINES AND SPIRITS.

ALL these are Selected by our London
House, bought direct at first hand,
imported in Wood and Bottled by ourselves,
thus saving all intermediate profits and enabling
us to supply the best growths at moderate
prices.

PRICE LIST, WITH FULL DETAILS, TO BE HAD
ON APPLICATION.

PORT:—After removal should be rested a
month before use. When required for
drinking at once it should be ordered to be
decanted at the Dispensary before being
sent out.

SHERRY:—Excellent dinner and after dinner
Wines, of very superior vintage. All are
true Xeres Wines.

CLARET:—Our Clarets, including the lowest
priced, are guaranteed to be the genuine
product of the juice of the grape and are not
artificially made from raisins and currents,
as is generally the case with Cheap Wines.

BRANDY:—All our Brandy is guaranteed to
be pure Cognac, the difference in price
being merely a question of age and vintage.

WHISKY:—All our Whisky is of excellent
quality and of greater age than most brands
in the market. The Scotch Whisky marked
"E" is universally popular and is pronounced
by the best local connoisseurs to be
superior to any other brand in the
Hongkong Market.

We only guarantee our Wines and Spirits to
be genuine when bought direct from us in the
Colony or from our authorized Agents at the
Coast Ports.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LTD.
THE HONGKONG DISPENSARY.
Hongkong, 9th January, 1895.

TO SUBSCRIBERS.

SUBSCRIBERS TO "THE HONGKONG
TELEGRAPH" ARE MOST RESPECTFULLY
REMINDED THAT ALL SUBSCRIPTIONS

MUST BE PAID IN ADVANCE.

DEATH.

At the Western Infirmary, Glasgow, on the
7th April, JOSEPH SMITH, late of Hongkong.

The Hongkong Telegraph

HONGKONG, WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 1895.

REUTER'S TELEGRAMS.

CHINA AND JAPAN
LONDON, May 14th.

A special agreement has been entered into
between China and Japan which fixes the terms
for the shanxiang of the Liaotung peninsula
by Japan.

ARMENIAN REFORMS.

Great Britain, France and Russia have
presented a joint scheme to the Sultan of Turkey
for reforms in Armenia.

(From *Le Progrès de Paris*)
THE MADAGASCAR EXPEDITION.

PARIS, May 6th.

The loss of the *Hovas* at Marovoay, which had
been taken, was three hundred men.

May 7th.
General Dutchesse has arrived at Majunga.

TELEGRAM.

(Special to *Hongkong Telegraph*)

IMPORTANT IMPERIAL
DECREE.

WHY JAPAN RETURNS THE
LIAOTUNG PENINSULA.

PRINCE CHING CALLS ON THE
AMERICAN MINISTER.

MR. FOSTER'S "SOREW."

SHANGHAI, May 15th;

3.30 p.m.

An Imperial Japanese Decree, just

issued, states that the Emperor of China

and Japan appointed special envoys to

conclude a Treaty of Peace, but the

Russian, German and French Govern-

ments advised the Emperor that the

permanent retention of the Liaotung

peninsula could not possibly conduce

to the lasting peace of the Orient, but

rather the reverse, and they recommended

the retrocession, to China, of the con-

quered territory. Japan has always been

anxious to establish peace in the Orient.

That was the main object of the recent

war. Believing that the Sovereigns of the

three foreign countries—Russia,

Germany and France—are imbued with

similar peaceful desires in respect of the

Orient, the Emperor will not insist on the

retention of the Liaotung peninsula, for

His Majesty does not wish to raise fresh

trouble; either now or at any future time.

Moreover, seeing that China has shown

regret for her repeated breaches of good

faith and of the bonds of former friendship,

Japan's action is fully justified before the

whole world. This being so, and the sole

object of the war being the removal of all

THE HONGKONG TELEGRAPH, WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 1895.

that the Engineers' Association should pay to the Marine Club an entrance fee of \$5 and a monthly subscription of \$1 for each of its members. When the plaintiff was admitted the entrance fee and subscription were payable in accordance with this rule. Subsequently an arrangement was made, for the convenience it was said in the statement of defense, of the Engineers' Association, that these fees should no longer be collected by the Engineers' Association and paid by them to the Marine Club, but should be collected directly by the Club from the members. However, the rules were not amended, and the rules were the law with regard to all the members of the Club; the rules settled the rights and liabilities of all the members, and as they stood now, after having considered what Mr. Fort had urged, he was of opinion that the privilege which was given to members of the Engineers' Association became a member of the Marine Club; as long as he was a member of the Association he continued to be a member of the Club, and when he ceased to be a member of the Club. That was, he thought, the construction which undoubtedly must be put upon these rules. He found it clearly proved that the plaintiff had ceased to be a member of the Engineers' Association; in fact, he had been expelled by a decision of the committee on this point. He had been expelled by a resolution passed in his presence on January 26th last, the reason being that he had refused to comply with a rule or resolution passed by the committee to the effect that the members of the Association should not sign an agreement or articles without inserting in them a twenty-four hours' notice clause, which he understood to mean that they were free to retire after giving twenty-four hours. A resolution appeared to have been passed by the committee to the effect that all members of the Association would impose that clause upon those who employed them. The plaintiff refused to comply with that resolution and he signed an article without the clause. That was the ground on which he was expelled. It was urged that the expulsion was illegal; that the committee were not justified in the action that they took, and that for various other reasons the decision could not be maintained. He carefully guarded himself from expressing any opinion upon these various questions. The members of the Engineers' Association were not before him, and he did not know what they might have to urge in support of what they had done. But even assuming that the plaintiff was right in all that he had said, that the action taken was illegal, the result would be to give him a cause of action against the persons who so illegally acted against the members of the Committee of the Engineers' Association. He could take action against them, the claim to be reinstated in his position as a member of the Engineers' Association and to be restored to the exercise of all his privileges, including the privilege of being a member of the Marine Club, but as far as the Marine Club was concerned, he did not see how that could be said because the committee of another body altogether might have acted wrongly towards the defendant. He held upon the case as laid before him that the plaintiff had made out no cause of action against the defendants as members of the committee of the Marine Club, and the result was that the plaintiff must be contented with costs.

CORRESPONDENCE.

We do not necessarily endorse the opinions expressed by Correspondents in this column.

CRUELTY TO ANIMALS.

To THE EDITOR OF THE "HONGKONG TELEGRAPH." SIR.—Referring to "Humanitarian's" letter, which appeared in your issue of the 1st instant, respecting the horrible cruelty practised on fowls, chickens, duck, &c., by Asiatics, I should also like, if you could give me space, to mention that one of the customs of the Chinese in preparing fowls for cooking is to first scald them with hot water and then pluck them alive!

Asiatics can be seen daily carrying along the streets fowls and other birds by the legs &c., and many of these by this method must be "done to death."

The swindling compradores or market-men, of which there are no doubt many, who sell a fowl as described in "Humanitarian's" letter, is a brute of the diabolical type, and should at once be brought to justice. If such barbarous cruelty is allowed to go on in a British colony it becomes a reproach to the Authorities, for who can imagine the torture the helpless creatures undergo and why is it not put down with a strong hand?

I would suggest that a branch of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals be established here, and one or two Inspectors be employed in the usual way. If this was done no doubt people of all classes would readily subscribe to its funds, as the matter calls for speedy legislation and immediate rectification.

Yours, etc., A. MORRISON,
Staff Sergeant,
MEDICAL STAFF CORPS.

Hongkong, 14th May, 1895.

Every European resident in Hongkong is *de facto* a member of a Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals—the great society of civilised and Christian men. If everyone of our fellow-citizens would exercise a little vigilance over the action of his or her Chinese servants and take the trouble to see that the business of the kitchen is conducted without unnecessary cruelty, very great progress in the right direction would be made in a very short time. No detective can discover or check what goes on under the domestic roof. Every master of a mischievous, if not disposed, knows enough of what is done to prevent such treatment being meted out to the helpless creatures as is referred to by our correspondent and by "Humanitarian."—Ed. H. K. T.

INTERESTING MARINE INSURANCE CASE.

LAING & THE UNION MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY.

In the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice, before Mr. Justice Mathew sitting without a jury, to try commercial causes, the above case has been decided. It was an action for a loss under a marine policy of insurance upon the steamship *Endeavour*. The plaintiff, as managing owner of the *Endeavour*, instructed Mr. Bullock, an Insurance Broker, to effect a policy of insurance upon the *Endeavour*. The letter of instruction was as follows:—

"*Endeavour*.—This steamer's current policy expires at Haiphong, and I shall be glad to have, least quotation from there to Hongkong, thence (coal) to Hongkong, thence to Japan and back to Hongkong, thence to Japan and New Zealand and from thence to London (*cis* Cape Horn), usual 90 days. Hongkong is between Haiphong and Hongkong, so that suppose you would send it from Haiphong to Hongkong with leave to call."

Mr. Bullen showed the following slip to the defendants amongst others:—

"5.300. October '93.
"Gellatly, Hankey, Sewell, and Co., County Chambers, Cornhill.
"Endeavour, s.
"Haliphong, J. New Zealand [London, and 30 days.
"With leave to call, &c.
"Null, machinery, &c., £1,000.
"No third, Y.A. Rules.
"R.D.C. and Docking Clauses.

"With leave to make an intermediate voyage Japan, China, Japan at 15 per cent. additional." The defendants accepted the risk and entered into a policy of insurance upon the *Endeavour*. The *Endeavour* went to Hongkong, loaded a cargo of coal for Hongkong, and was lost on her voyage between Hongkong and Hongkong. When the claim was made upon the underwriters they resisted it on the ground that the risk was not correctly described in the policy, and that the fact that the vessel was going to Hongkong was consequent upon membership of the Engineers' Association. It was a privilege which was consequential upon membership of the Engineers' Association. The plaintiff when he became a member of the Engineers' Association became a member of the Marine Club; as long as he was a member of the Association he continued to be a member of the Club, and when he ceased to be a member of the Club. That was, he thought, the construction which undoubtedly must be put upon these rules. He found it clearly proved that the plaintiff had ceased to be a member of the Engineers' Association; in fact, he had been expelled by a decision of the committee on this point. He had been expelled by a resolution passed in his presence on January 26th last, the reason being that he had refused to comply with a rule or resolution passed by the committee to the effect that the members of the Association should not sign an agreement or articles without inserting in them a twenty-four hours' notice clause, which he understood to mean that they were free to retire after giving twenty-four hours notice. A resolution appeared to have been passed by the committee to the effect that all members of the Association would impose that clause upon those who employed them. The plaintiff refused to comply with that resolution and he signed an article without the clause. That was the ground on which he was expelled. It was urged that the expulsion was illegal; that the committee were not justified in the action that they took, and that for various other reasons the decision could not be maintained. He carefully guarded himself from expressing any opinion upon these various questions. The members of the Engineers' Association were not before him, and he did not know what they might have to urge in support of what they had done. But even assuming that the plaintiff was right in all that he had said, that the action taken was illegal, the result would be to give him a cause of action against the persons who so illegally acted against the members of the Committee of the Engineers' Association. He could take action against them, the claim to be reinstated in his position as a member of the Engineers' Association and to be restored to the exercise of all his privileges, including the privilege of being a member of the Marine Club, but as far as the Marine Club was concerned, he did not see how that could be said because the committee of another body altogether might have acted wrongly towards the defendant. He held upon the case as laid before him that the plaintiff had made out no cause of action against the defendants as members of the committee of the Marine Club, and the result was that the plaintiff must be contented with costs.

Mr. Justice Mathew, in giving judgment, said:—At the time of the policy the vessel was under charter to proceed to Hongkong, and there take a cargo of coal for Hongkong. The underwriters resisted the claim on the ground that the policy did not describe the risk. It was a clear rule of law that, whenever a person intending to present a risk to an underwriter, he was bound to tell the underwriter the risks he would have to run, and which he proposed to put upon the shoulders of the underwriter. The parties must have been *ad idem* and the underwriter was entitled to have all information put before him. That rule was subject to two qualifications: (1) the assured was entitled to assume the underwriter knew his business, and all incidental matters connected with that business; (2) the assured was not bound to disclose matters which the underwriter indicated he was indifferent to. The plaintiff attempted to show that the information was not required. Mr. Bullen said he produced the letter of instructions. It was agreed that if the letter had been shown there was an end of the matter. That question of fact he had to decide with. He had to decide between witnesses which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It was a strictly confidential letter. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter if he had seen the letter which suggested not to mention Hongkong? The underwriter would probably have refused the risk. It suggested that it was not desirable to mention that the ship was going to Hongkong. It was written in perfectly good faith. What would have been the likely effect upon the mind of the underwriter

Auctions.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

M R. J. M. ARMSTRONG has received instructions from the MORTGAGEE to Sell by PUBLIC AUCTION, ON FRIDAY AND SATURDAY, the 17th and 18th day of May, 1895, at 2.30 o'clock in the Afternoon at the Premises THE FOLLOWING.

LEASEHOLD PROPERTIES, Situate at Victoria, Hongkong, in Lots.

Lot 1.—SECTION A of MARINE LOT No. 214 with the message thereon No. 86, Wing Lok Street.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$12.34.

Lot 2.—ALL the VENDORS right benefit interest and advantage of and in the Reclamation or Extension Seawards in front of Section D of Marine Lot No. 198 subject to the payment of the instalments and all other monies (if any) now or hereafter to become due or payable in respect of the said Reclamation.

Lot 3.—SECTION D of MARINE LOT No. 198 with the message thereon No. 225, Praya West.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$10.00.

Lot 4.—ALL the VENDORS right benefit interest and advantage of and in the Reclamation or Extension Seawards in front of Section D of Marine Lot No. 198 subject to the payment of the instalments and all other monies (if any) now or hereafter to become due or payable in respect thereof.

Lot 5.—SECTION I of MARINE LOT No. 198 with the message thereon No. 6, Sal On Lane.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$37.

Lot 6.—SECTION E of MARINE LOT No. 200 with the message thereon No. 214, Praya West.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$22.

Lot 7.—ALL the VENDORS right benefit interest and advantage of and in the Reclamation or Extension Seawards in front of Section E of Marine Lot No. 199 subject to the payment of the instalments and all other monies (if any) now or hereafter to become due or payable in respect thereof.

Lot 8.—SECTION M of MARINE LOT No. 199 with the message thereon No. 377 and 379, Queen's Road West.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$15.

The above LOTS are Sold subject to existing Tenancies.

For Further Particulars and Conditions of Sale, apply to

C. EWENS,
Solicitor for the Mortgagee,
or to
J. M. ARMSTRONG,
the Auctioneer.

Hongkong, 6th May, 1895. \$43

PUBLIC AUCTION.

M R. J. M. ARMSTRONG has received instructions from the MORTGAGEE to Sell by

PUBLIC AUCTION,

ON FRIDAY AND SATURDAY, the 17th and 18th day of May, 1895, at 2.30 o'clock in the Afternoon at the Premises THE FOLLOWING.

LEASEHOLD PROPERTIES, Situate at Victoria, Hongkong, in Lots.

Lot 1.—SUB-SECTION 2 of SECTION B of INLAND LOT NO. 5 with the message thereon No. 230, Queen's Road Central.

Lot 2.—SECTION B of INLAND LOT NO. 5A with message thereon No. 232, Queen's Road Central.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$3.25.

Lots 3 & 4.—SECTION G of MARINE LOT NO. 199 with the messages thereon No. 216 and 217, Praya West.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$42.

Lot 5.—ALL the VENDORS right benefit interest and advantage of and in the Reclamation or Extension Seawards in front of Section G of Marine Lot No. 199 subject to the payment of the instalments and all other monies (if any) now or hereafter to become due or payable in respect of the Praya Reclamation.

Lot 6.—SECTION O of MARINE LOT No. 199 with the message thereon No. 383, Queen's Road West.

ANNUAL CROWN RENT \$7.50.

The above Properties are Sold subject to existing Tenancies.

For Further Particulars and Conditions of Sale, apply to

C. EWENS,
Solicitor for the Mortgagee,
or to
J. M. ARMSTRONG,
the Auctioneer.

Hongkong, 6th May, 1895. \$44

PUBLIC AUCTION.

OF
HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE,
BOOKS AND EFFECTS, &c.

THE Undersigned has received instructions to Sell by

PUBLIC AUCTION,

ON SATURDAY, the 18th May, 1895, commencing at 2.30 P.M., at his SALA ROOMS, DUDDELL STREET, (For Sundry Accounts),

A QUANTITY OF

HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, comprising—

DRAWING-ROOM SUITES, FANCY CHAIRS and TABLES, FANCY RATTAN FURNITURE, OVERMANTLES, BRASS FENDERS, CURTAINS, CARPETS, ORNAMENTS, CLAVES, &c., &c.

ONE COTTAGE PIANO, in Good Condition, SEVERAL SIDEBOARDS, with PLATE GLASS BACKS, DINING TABLE and CHAIRS, DINNER WAGGONS, CHASS and CROCKERY WARE, ELECTRO-PLATE, CUTLERY.

PANTRY REQUISITES, IRON and BRASS, MOUNTED BED-STEADS, with WIRE MATTRESSES, &c., SINGLE and DOUBLE WARDROBES with BEVELLED GLASS DOORS and PLAIN MARBLE-TOP WASH STANDS and BUREAUX, DRESSING TABLES and SUNDRY BED-ROOM FURNITURE, &c.

A Quantity of BOOKS, BATH-ROOM REQUISITES, TENNIS GEAR, RICKSHAS, &c., &c.

Catalogues issued Prior to Sale. On View from FRIDAY, the 17th May, 1895.

TERMS OF SALE—As customary.

GEO. P. LAMMERT, Auctioneer.

Hongkong, 14th May, 1895. \$45

Intimations.

UNDoubtedly!
CHAMPAGNE BITTERS AND BOVRIL
ARE
PRE-EMINENT!
AS A
PICK-ME-UP.

WATKINS & CO.,
APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

Hotels.

WINDSOR HOTEL,
HONGKONG.

THIS ESTABLISHMENT, situated in the elegant Building known as "CONNAUGHT HOUSE," offers First-Class Accommodation to Residents and Travellers.

Passenger-Elevator, from Entrance Hall to each Floor, in charge of experienced Attendant.

Favourable Arrangements made for Families and for Monthly or Extended Periods.

P. BOHN,
Proprietor & Manager.

Hongkong, 3rd April, 1895. £100

PEAK HOTEL.

OPEN ALL THE YEAR ROUND.

THIS commodious, and well appointed HOTEL, situated at a height of 1,250 feet above sea-level, has just been thoroughly re-decorated, renovated and re-furnished, and a NEW WING has been built, which commands magnificent Views of the Harbour, and mainland of China.

SPECIAL SUMMER RATES,
(FROM APRIL 1ST TO OCTOBER 31ST).

One person, per day..... \$ 4.00

One person, per month..... \$75 to 90.00

Married couple (occupying one room) per day..... 7.00

Married couple (occupying one room) per month..... 150.00

Married couple (occupying two rooms) per month..... 170.00

For further particulars, apply to

THE MANAGER,
New Victoria Hotel,
Hongkong, 16th March, 1895. £26

FUJIYAMA HOTEL,
MIYANOSHITA,
HAKONE.

Four and a half hours from Yokohama.

FIRST-CLASS ACCOMMODATION.
NATURAL HOT SPRINGS.

THE ELECTRIC LIGHT IN ALL THE BUILDINGS.

TWO ENGLISH BILLIARD TABLES.

EXCELLENT CUISINE.

SPECIAL RATES MADE FOR A PROLONGED STAY.

S. N. YAMAGUCHI,
Proprietor.

Hongkong, 3rd May, 1895. £104

THOMAS' GRILL ROOMS,
No. 2, QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL.

I AM happy to inform my PATRONS that in connection with the GRILL ROOM, I have secured the 1ST FLOOR recently occupied by the CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY (above the present GRILL ROOM) and have fitted it up for

LADIES' DINING ROOMS, with all conveniences attached. I am also now prepared to serve

DINNERS, TEA-INS. AND SUPPERS to Parties when ordered distinct from the ordinary GRILL ROOM.

DAILY NEWSPAPERS AND PERIODICALS.

W. THOMAS,
Proprietor.

Hongkong, 30th April, 1895. £58

BAY VIEW HOTEL.

THE "RAMSGATE" OF HONGKONG,
(On Shau-ki-wan Road.)

THE POPULAR SUMMER RESORT, and

TERMINUS of the only pleasant DRIVE to be had on the Island. "BAY VIEW" occupies the best situation on the Shau-ki-wan Road, commands an excellent view of the Harbour, and is always open to the cool breezes from the Southward. Steam-launches can at any time come alongside the Jetty adjoining the spacious lawn.

To the other attractions of this popular resort

BATHING PAVILIONS have been added, and a LAUNCH runs from the NEW PEDDER'S WHARF to BAY VIEW every half-hour after 5 P.M. daily.

Private Dinners or Tiffins prepared in Picturesque style at the shortest notice, and Meals can be served at all hours.

Hongkong, 13th August, 1894. £19

A Natural Food.

Conditions of

the system arise when ordinary foods cease to

build flesh—there is urgent

need of arresting waste—assistance must

come quickly, from natural

food source.

Scott's Emulsion

is a condensation of the life

of all foods—it is cod-liver oil reinforced, made easy of

digestion, and almost as

palatable as milk.

Scott & Rivers Ltd., London, Agents.

Catalogues issued Prior to Sale. On View from FRIDAY, the 17th May, 1895.

TERMS OF SALE—As customary.

GEO. P. LAMMERT, Auctioneer.

Hongkong, 14th May, 1895. £45

PRICES VERY MODERATE.

ORDERS IMMEDIATELY MADE UP.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

WATKINS & CO.,

APOTHECARIES' HALL, 66, Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.